

*Ce*



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*Ce*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/754,969 01/05/01 HUANG

E 2276-02

026797 TM02/1106  
SILICON VALLEY PATENT AGENCY, INC.  
7394 WILDFLOWER WAY  
CUPERTINO CA 95014

EXAMINER

BASHORE, W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

DATE MAILED: 11/06/01

*8*

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

*HG*

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/754,969

Applicant(s)  
HUANG, Evan S.

Examiner  
William L. Bashore

Group Art Unit  
2176



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William L. Bashore USPTO (703) 308-5807

(3) Evan S. Huang

(2) Joe Zheng (Reg. 39,450)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov 2, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 15

Identification of prior art discussed:

Borgendale, Fuji-Xerox

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed differences between claimed limitations and the cited art of record. Suggested further defining structured/unstructured documents, as well as the order of limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

*William L. Bashore*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.